

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

COREY GERWASKI,

Plaintiff,

V.

STATE OF NEVADA, ex rel. BOARD OF REGENTS of the NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION, on behalf of the UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS; KEITH WHITFIELD, individually; AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION INC., a California Non-Profit Corporation; STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE OF PALESTINE-UNLV; NATIONAL STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE OF PALESTINE; NEVADANS FOR PALESTINIAN LIBERATION; DOES I-XX and ROE entities I-XX.

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00985- APG-MDC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
EXTEND DEADLINE FOR
RESPONSE TO MOTION TO
DISMISS [ECF 37]**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between Plaintiff COREY GERWASKI, and Defendant AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, INC., by and through their respective counsel, regarding Defendant AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, INC.'s SPECIAL MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO NRS NEV. REV. STAT §41.660 [ECF 37]

1. On or about November 18, 2024, Defendants AJP EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION INC. filed their Motion to Dismiss under Nevada's anti-SLAPP statute

2. Plaintiff was served with said Motion on the same day with a Response to
same due on December 2, 2024.

1 3. Counsel for Plaintiff was unavailable to commence response to this
2 Motion the week it was filed as a result of completing depositions throughout the week in
3 Northern Nevada.

4 4. Thereafter, the Thanksgiving Holiday and Counsel's scheduled oral
5 arguments in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals¹ thereafter provides good cause for
6 extending the briefing period herein.

7 5. Defendants' Counsel has graciously extended the briefing period for 30-
8 day as a professional courtesy and this stipulation follows with the briefing schedule to be
9 modified as to allow Plaintiff's Response to Defendant AJP Educational Foundation to be
10 due January 2, 2025.

11 6. As this case remains in its early stages, this short extension will not create
12 undue delay or burden any parties or the Court.

13 7. The additional time requested herein is not sought for the purposes of
14 delay, but merely to allow Plaintiff's Counsel adequate time to respond to the subject
15 Motion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

16 8. The Parties confirm that this stipulated first extension is not dilatory in
17 nature.

18 Dated this 25th day of November, 2024.

19
20 /s/ Sigal Chattah
21 SIGAL CHATTAH, ESQ.
22 5875 South Rainbow Blvd. #205
23 Las Vegas, NV 89118
24 (702) 360-6200
25 Counsel for Plaintiff
26 Corey Gerwaski

27
28 ¹ Plaintiff's Counsel is scheduled for oral argument in San Francisco on December 3, 2024 in *Doe v. Washoe County School District*, et al. 24-945 – 033.

1 /s/ Christina Jump
2 Christina A. Jump (admitted pro hac vice)
3 cjump@clcma.org
4 Samira Elhosary (admitted pro
5 hac vice)
6 selhosary@clcma.org
7 Constitutional Law Center for
8 Muslims in America*
9 100 N. Central Expy, Suite 1010
10 Richardson, TX 75080
11 (972) 914-2507
12 *Counsel for Defendant AJP*
13 *Educational Foundation, Inc.*

14 /s Margaret A. McLetchie
15 Margaret A. McLetchie (NV Bar
16 No. 10931)
17 maggie@nvlitigation.com
18 Leo S. Wolpert (NV Bar No.
19 12658)
20 leo@nvlitigation.com
21 McLetchie Law
22 602 S. 10th St.
23 Las Vegas, NV 89101
24 (702) 728-5300
25 *Local Counsel for Defendant AJP*
26 *Educational Foundation, Inc.*

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff COREY GERWASKI shall have up and until January 2, 2025, to file a Response to Defendant's special motion to dismiss pursuant to NRS 41.660. [ECF 37]

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ANDREW P. GORDON
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: November 26, 2024